1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
2	ADRIAN SCHOOLCRAFT,
3	
4	PLAINTIFF, -against- Case No:
5	10 Civ. 6005 (RWS)
6	THE CITY OF NEW YORK, DEPUTY CHIEF MICHAEL MARINO, Tax Id. 873220, Individually and in his Official
7	Capacity, ASSISTANT CHIEF PATROL BOROUGH BROOKLYN NORTH GERALD NELSON, Tax Id. 912370, Individually
8	And in his Official Capacity, DEPUTY INSPECTOR STEVEN MAURIELLO, Tax Id. 895117, Individually and
9	In his Official Capacity, CAPTAIN THEODORE LAUTERBORN, Tax Id. 897840, Individually and in his
10	Official Capacity, LIEUTENANT WILLIAM GOUGH, Tax Id. 919124, Individually and in his Official Capacity,
11	SGT. FREDERICK SAWYER, Shield No. 2576, Individually
12	and in his Official Capacity, SERGEANT KURT DUNCAN, Shield No. 2483, Individually and in his Official
13	Capacity, LIEUTENANT CHRISTOPHER BROSCHART, Tax Id. 915354, Individually and in his Official Capacity, LIEUTENANT TIMOTHY CAUGHEY, Tax Id. 885374,
14	Individually and in his Official Capacity, SERGEANT SHANTEL JAMES, Shield No. 3004 and P.O.'s "JOHN DOE"
15	#1-50, Individually and in their Official Capacity (the name John Doe being fictitious, as the true
16	names are presently unknown) (collectively referred to as "NYPD defendants"), JAMAICA HOSPITAL MEDICAL
17	CENTER, DR. ISAK ISAKOV, Individually and in his Official Capacity, DR. LILIAN ALDANA-BERNIER,
18	Individually and in her Official Capacity and JAMAICA HOSPITAL MEDICAL CENTER EMPLOYEE'S "JOHN
19	DOE" # 1-50, Individually and in their Official Capacity (the name John Doe being fictitious, as
20	The true names are presently unknown),
21	DEFENDANTS.
22	X
23	DATE: October 11, 2012
24	TIME: 10:20 A.M.
25	(Continued)



2

Ţ		
2	DATE: October 11, 2012	
3	TIME: 10:20 A.M.	
4		
5		
6	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION of the	
7	Plaintiff, ADRIAN SCHOOLCRAFT, taken by the	
8	Respective Parties, pursuant to a Notice and	
9	to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, held at	
10	the offices of the New York City Law Department,	
11	100 Church Street, New York, New York 10007, before	
12	Nathan MacCormack, a Notary Public of the State of	
13	New York.	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

- 1 Q. Now, you said "lock people up." That would be an
- 2 arrest, correct?
- 3 A. Correct.
- 4 Q. I am asking specifically about summonses, so I
- 5 ask that you please confine your answers just with
- 6 summonses. Have you been trained to issue summonses
- 7 without probable cause?
- 8 A. It's difficult for me to discern the difference
- 9 between the two. Summonses are issued in lieu of an
- 10 arrest. Summonses specifically, I believe -- I don't
- 11 recall any exact incident.
- But again, I know there are recordings that
- 13 probably state that; summonses, arrests, 250's, what they
- 14 deemed activity.
- 15 Q. And these recordings, you recall them stating
- 16 that a summons should be issued, even in the absence of
- 17 probable cause?
- MR. NORINSBERG: Objection.
- 19 A. That's how I took some of the instructions.
- 20 Q. Have you ever personally observed another officer
- 21 issue a summons, without probable cause?
- 22 A. It's hard to go back to and give an exact time
- 23 and date. But yes, I have seen it.
- 24 Q. How many times?
- 25 A. It's hard to approximate how many times. There's

- 1 A. I don't know if I did, specifically. But I was
- 2 aware other officers that wanted overtime would have to
- 3 adhere to the policy in order to explain how they could
- 4 have that overtime.
- 5 Q. But you personally, do you, sitting here today,
- 6 recall ever losing overtime for failing to issue a certain
- 7 number of summonses?
- 8 A. As I sit here today, I don't recall losing
- 9 overtime.
- 10 Q. What officers did you observe lose overtime for
- 11 failing to issue a certain number of summonses?
- 12 A. I don't recall any specify officer. I just
- 13 recall that that was the general -- if an officer wanted
- 14 overtime, they would have to explain it. And when there
- 15 was overtime, I recall being addressed by supervisors. It
- 16 was understood.
- The number was "two and two"; two summonses and
- 18 two 250's. If the officer made a collar, they wanted the
- 19 -- the supervisor wanted that collar, that arrest to be
- 20 250'd. And I think they -- you still weren't required to
- 21 do the summonses. But it was "two and two," that was the
- 22 phrase.
- 23 O. When you say "two and two," what do you mean?
- A. Two summonses, two 250's, two stop, question and
- 25 frisks.

- 1 Q. Per month?
- 2 A. Per that overtime, per when you are -- that
- 3 mandated overtime, or if you requested it.
- 4 Q. So if I understand you, an officer who was given
- 5 overtime, was required to issue two summonses and make two
- 6 arrests during that overtime shift?
- 7 MR. NORINSBERG: Objection.
- 8 A. As a minimum, yes.
- 9 Q. At a minimum.
- 10 MR. NORINSBERG: I think you misjudged. He
- 11 said two -
- MS. PUBLICKER: He just said yes.
- MR. NORINSBERG: No, but he said two
- summonses and two 250's.
- 15 MR. COHEN: He said it two times.
- 16 MR. NORINSBERG: He said it two times, then
- 17 you rephrased it the wrong way.
- MS. PUBLICKER: And then he said "yes." I
- am sorry if I misphrased it, but --
- MR. NORINSBERG: Do you want to clarify,
- 21 Adrian?
- THE WITNESS: What was the question?
- Q. When you say "two and two," you are saying -- if
- 24 I misstated you, then -- two summonses and two 250's, or
- 25 two summonses and two arrests per overtime shift?

DIAMOND REPORTING (718) 624-7200 info@diamondreporting.com

- 1 A. Two summonses and two 250's, two and two.
- Q. Okay. What happened if they did not make that
- 3 two and two during their overtime shift?
- A. I don't believe they would -- they would not be
- 5 able to ask for overtime anymore.
- 6 Q. Can you name a single person who was subject to
- 7 that policy?
- 8 A. Not specifically. But I believe you can -- the
- 9 overtime is documented very well. You could see a pattern
- 10 of certain officers that have become dependent on overtime.
- 11 Q. But have you ever seen an officer be refused
- 12 overtime because they did not hit the quota policy for
- 13 summons, you referred to earlier?
- 14 A. I don't specifically -- I don't specifically
- 15 recall any officer or exact time. But that was general
- 16 knowledge.
- 17 O. Did you ever suffer a tour change as a result of
- failing to issue a certain number of summonses per month?
- 19 A. No. I don't -- I was on the same tour for --
- 20 maybe three years straight.
- 21 Q. Do you observe another officer suffer that
- 22 penalty?
- 23 A. I don't recall any specific officer. But I
- 24 recall officers getting in trouble. In order to get back
- 25 to their desired tour, they would have to produce summonses

- 1 know what number to give.
- 2 Q. More than 10?
- 3 A. I believe it was a lot. But I don't remember any
- 4 specific number.
- 5 Q. And when did these conversations begin?
- 6 MR. NORINSBERG: Objection.
- 7 A. I don't recall any specific time or -- the
- 8 specific supervisor. But again, the recordings, I can
- 9 identify -- I can identify those, and the supervisors I
- 10 noted in the Complaint.
- 11 Q. So aside from the recordings in this matter, did
- 12 you have any conversations, one on one, with the supervisor
- 13 about your summons activity, prior to your 2008 performance
- 14 evaluation?
- MR. NORINSBERG: Objection.
- 16 A. I believe so, yes.
- 17 Q. How many?
- 18 MR. NORINSBERG: Objection.
- 19 A. I couldn't even approximate the number of times
- 20 the supervisors would approach us or me, the number of
- 21 summonses and arrests and 250's.
- 22 Q. What supervisor spoke to you about your summons,
- 23 arrests and 250's, personally?
- 24 A. We are still before the evaluation?
- 25 Q. Correct.

DIAMOND REPORTING (718) 624-7200 info@diamondreporting.com

- l has ever been denied their vacation picks, no.
- Q. While you were assigned to the 81st Precinct,
- 3 were you aware of officers being required to make a certain
- 4 number of stop, question and frisks, or UF-250 forms?
- 5 A. What was that time frame again? The same
- 6 question, you don't have to rephrase it.
- 7 Q. While you were assigned to the 81st Precinct,
- 8 okay, yes?
- 9 A. Please repeat the question.
- 10 Q. While you were assigned to the 81st Precinct,
- 11 were you aware of other officers being required to make a
- 12 certain number of stop, question and frisks, or UF-250's?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. How many stops were officers required to conduct?
- 15 A. Again, it would depend on the officer, what unit
- 16 he is assigned with. Off the top of my head, I don't
- 17 recall any specific number, but the recordings -- the
- 18 conversations, the roll calls, that did address the number
- 19 of 250's. I believe I have recordings that state times and
- 20 dates and people involved.
- Q. How many stops was a patrol officer required to
- 22 conduct in the 81st Precinct?
- 23 A. I don't recall ever being given a specific number
- on 250's, other than the -- if you are assigned overtime,
- 25 it was two and two, two summonses, two 250's. I don't

- 1 recall any specific supervisor or any specific time. But
- 2 there were times where -- where they wanted a car to bring
- 3 at least two 250's or even individual officers, at least
- 4 two 250's for that shift.
- But I don't believe -- it's possible they are
- 6 stating that in the recording. But I don't believe they
- 7 give a -- it's possible that I heard an exact number on the
- 8 250's, but I don't recall at this time.
- 9 Q. Did a union delegate ever tell you about a number
- of 250's that were required to be turned in?
- 11 A. I don't recall union delegates addressing
- 12 numbers.
- 13 Q. Did anyone ever tell you to conduct a stop,
- 14 question and frisk, even if you did not have reasonable
- 15 suspicion?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. How many times?
- 18 A. I can't approximate the number of times. There
- 19 were recordings -- I believe there are multiple recordings
- 20 of supervisors telling officers to articulate a charge
- 21 later: "If you summons someone, 250 them; if you 250 them,
- 22 summons them." There is no way to approximate how many
- 23 times we were instructed to falsify a 250.
- Q. What is the sum and substance of the recordings
- 25 you just made reference to?

DIAMOND REPORTING (718) 624-7200 info@diamondreporting.com

- 1 MR. NORINSBERG: Objection,
- 2 mischaracterization of testimony.
- 3 A. I don't believe so. I don't believe all of them
- 4 -- they weren't all intended to show just that type of
- 5 misconduct. There was also the falsifying the training
- 6 log, when there was no training, in fact.
- 7 Q. Besides the recordings, were you trained to issue
- 8 250's or conduct stop, question and frisks, even if you did
- 9 not have reasonable suspicion?
- MR. NORINSBERG: Objection to the form.
- 11 A. I believe so, yes.
- 12 Q. When did you receive that training?
- 13 A. Again, I would have to listen to the recordings.
- Q. Well, my question asked you for "other than
- 15 recordings"?
- 16 A. I cannot -- at this time, I cannot give you any
- 17 specific date or any specific supervisor. But again, the
- 18 recordings speak for themselves.
- 19 Q. Did you lose overtime for failing to issue a
- 20 certain number of 250's?
- 21 A. I don't believe -- I probably.
- 22 Q. When?
- 23 A. To the best -- again, probably. I wouldn't know
- 24 if I lost overtime, if they didn't give me overtime. I
- 25 don't know. A supervisor may be able to answer that

- l question.
- 2 Q. How many times did you request overtime but were
- 3 denied?
- A. I don't recall ever requesting overtime.
- 5 Q. Did you observe any other officers lose overtime
- for failing to issue a certain number of 250's?
- 7 A. I don't recall any specific officer or any
- 8 specific time. But it was general knowledge, if you didn't
- 9 pay the rent, you did not get what you asked for.
- 10 Q. Have you ever suffered a change of tour, as a
- 11 result of failing to issue a certain number of UF250's?
- 12 A. If you include the losing proposition collars, I
- 13 would say yes.
- 14 . Q. How many times was your tour changed because you
- 15 failed to issue a certain number of 250's?
- 16 A. I don't recall how many times.
- 17 Q. What was the certain number of 250's you were
- 18 required to issue, when you had your tour changed for
- 19 failing to reach that number?
- MR. NORINSBERG: Objection.
- 21 A. What was that again?
- 22 Q. So you said that you did suffer a change of tour
- 23 for failing to issue a certain number of 250's; that is
- 24 correct?
- 25 A. That I stated what?

- 1 Q. That you did suffer a change of tour for failing
- 2 to issue a certain number of 250's?
- 3 A. I don't recall making that statement, no.
- 4 Q. You didn't just state that, no?
- 5 MR. NORINSBERG: Objection.
- 6 A. I don't believe so, no.
- 7 Q. So you have never suffered a change of tour as a
- 8 result of failing to issue a certain number of 250's?
- 9 A. Maybe I misheard the question. The losing
- 10 proposition -- the intent of assigning officers to losing
- ll proposition, the assigned arresting officers was to give
- 12 them more arrests, more bodies.
- 13 Q. We are talking about 250's, here.
- 14 A. Then that would have been -- I didn't understand
- 15 the question at that time.
- 16 O. Did you ever have your tour changed as a result
- of failing to issue a certain number of UF-250's?
- 18 A. I don't believe so, no.
- 19 Q. Have you ever observed another officer suffer
- 20 that penalty?
- 21 A. I don't recall any specific officer or any
- 22 conversation.
- Q. Have you ever been denied vacation days as a
- 24 result of failing to issue a certain number of 250's?
- A. Again, if I requested a vacation day that wasn't

- l a pick, I don't recall ever requesting a day that wasn't my
- 2 pick.
- 3 Q. Have you ever stopped someone without reasonable
- 4 suspicion?
- 5 A. No. I don't believe, no.
- 6 Q. Other than roll call, have you been trained on
- 7 how to issue UF-250's?
- 8 A. I don't recall any other training regarding
- 9 250's, no.
- 10 Q. Other than roll call, have you received any other
- 11 training on when you can stop someone, based on reasonable
- 12 suspicion?
- 13 A. Perhaps in a law class in the police academy. I
- 14 am sure they went over stop, question and frisks, and
- 15 reasonable suspicion and probable cause.
- 16 Q. And at the academy, what did they teach you
- 17 about, when you can stop someone?
- 18 A. I don't recall, specifically. But it was my
- 19 understanding you can stop someone when you reasonably
- 20 suspect that they have or are about to commit a crime.
- Q. Have you personally observed another officer stop
- 22 someone without reasonable suspicion?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. How many times?
- 25 A. I can't approximate. I don't remember every

ADRIA	N SCHOOLCR	RAFT,	PLAINTIFF	,
			PLAINTIFF	′
		-against-	Case No.: 10 CV 6005	
			CHIEF MICHAEL	
		873220, Indi	vidually and SISTANT CHIEF	
PATRO	L BOROUGH		H GERALD NELSON,	
in hi	s Official	Capacity, DE	PUTY INSPECTOR 5117, Individually	
and i	n his Offic	cial Capacity	, CAPTAIN THEODORE Individually and	
		Capacity, LI 919124, Indiv	EUTENANT WILLIAM idually and	
		Capacity, SG, Individuall	T. FREDERICK SAWYE	R,
in hi	s Official		RGEANT KURT DUNCAN	,
in his	s Official	Capacity, LI	EUTENANT CHRISTOPH ndividually and	ER
in hi	s Official	Capacity, LI	EUTENANT TIMOTHY ividually and	
in his	s Official		RGEANT SHANTEL JAM	ES,
in hi	s Official	Capacity, an	d P.O.'s "JOHN DOE heir Official Capa	
(the	name John	Doe being fic	titious, as the trace (collectively	
refer	red to as		nts"), JAMAICA	
Indiv	idually and	d in his Offi	cial Capacity, Individually and	
in he	r Official	Capacity, an	d JAMAICA HOSPITAL OHN DOE" #1-50,	
Indiv	idually and	d in their Of	ficial Capacity, titious, as the	
•		presently unk		
			DEFENDANT	

2

1	DATE: SEPTEMBER 26, 2013
2	TIME: 10:10 A.M
3	
4	VIDEO DEPOSITION of the Plaintiff, ADRIAN
5	SCHOOLCRAFT, taken by the respective parties, pursuant to a
6	Court Order and to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure,
7	held at the offices of Scoppetta, Seiff, Kretz &
8	Abercrombie, Esqs, 444 Madison Avenue, New York New York,
9	10022 before Elizabeth Forero, a Notary Public of the State
10	of New York.
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

- 1 And the rest of that was just is everything's okay,
- 2 everything will be okay, and just comply.
- 3 Q. Did Sergeant Myer participate in your 2008
- 4 evaluation?
- 5 A. If he did, I am not aware.
- 6 Q. Prior to receiving your 2008 evaluation the
- 7 question was: Who told you a specific number?
- 8 MR. SMITH: That wasn't the question but you
- 9 can ask that.
- MR. KRETZ: A while back it was.
- 11 A. The time -- what were you talking about?
- 12 Q. Who had told you directly a specific number you
- 13 had to produce and you mentioned DelaFunte, I believe?
- 14 A. Correct.
- 15 Q. That was before your 2008 evaluation?
- 16 A. I don't remember the date of that.
- 17 Q. Well, you said --
- 18 A. It was a roll call.
- 19 Q. It was a roll call. You said when you received
- 20 your 2008 evaluation you considered it a retaliation. And
- 21 then you indicated areas of your activity were highlighted
- 22 on your activity report.
- 23 A. I believe it was a document with boxes that
- 24 looked similar to my activity report. It may have been
- 25 because I think they sum up all the numbers at the end of

- the year. It may been that document, but it was obviously
- 2 the areas of summonses and arrests and 250s and it was
- 3 green highlighter.
- Q. Did you get the evaluation you got because you
- 5 were not performing at the level your supervising officers
- 6 thought you ought to be performing at or they were
- 7 retaliating against you for something?
- 8 MR. SMITH: Objection to form.
- 9 Q. Or are those the same in your mind?
- 10 A. Well, you just confused me. The question is --
- 11 O. You said the evaluation exhibited retaliation
- 12 against you. Right? And I asked what was the retaliation
- 13 for? And you told me that your numbers were highlighted on
- 14 your activity report. So you think they retaliated against
- you because you didn't have high enough numbers on your
- 16 activity report?
- 17 A. I believe that's the reason; correct.
- 18 Q. As opposed to being critical of you for not
- 19 performing as fully they think you should have performed on
- 20 the job?
- 21 MR. SMITH: Objection to the form.
- 22 A. Performing at just what their definition of
- 23 activity or performing as an officer overall? I think I
- 24 can answer that question. I believe I was performing my
- 25 duties as a police officer to the best of my ability. That

- is how I feel they should have evaluated me not just based
- 2 on their narrow highlighted definition of activity.
- 3 Q. You believe they evaluated you the way they did
- 4 purely based upon your numbers not being high enough?
- 5 A. Correct.
- 6 Q. Did you believe at the time there was a specific
- 7 number in each category that was required?
- 8 A. I am not aware of a specific number.
- 9 Q. You don't know whether your evaluation
- 10 constituted criticism of you for inactivity or constituted
- 11 retaliation against you for failing to achieve certain
- 12 numbers?
- 13 MR. SMITH: Objection to form.
- 14 A. I haven't reviewed the evaluation in a long time.
- 15 On the evaluation there are summaries --
- 16 Q. This is what I am trying to get at. Was the
- 17 evaluation a criticism of your performance or was it
- 18 retaliation for something?
- MR. SMITH: Wait. Objection.
- 20 A. I believe it was a retaliation.
- Q. And the retaliation for something was, you didn't
- 22 show enough activity?
- 23 MR. SMITH: Objection to form. This has
- 24 been asked and answered several times. Excuse
- 25 me. You are asking him to speculate about why